arXiv:1609.06565v1 [math.CO] 21 Sep 2016

Cayley graphs with metric dimension two - A characterization

Ebrahim Vatandoost[1], Ali Behtoei[2], Yasser Golkhandy Pour[3]

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Imam Khomeini International University, Qazvin, Iran

Abstract

Let Γ be a graph on n vertices. A subset W of $V(\Gamma)$ is called a resolving set when for each $u, v \in V(\Gamma)$ there exists $w \in W$ such that $\partial(u, w) \neq \partial(v, w)$. The metric dimension of Γ is the minimum cardinality among resolving sets of Γ and is denoted by $dim(\Gamma)$. This parameter has many applications in chemistry, in the navigation of robots in networks and in the problems of pattern recognition and image processing some of which involve the use of hierarchical data structures. In this paper, we study the metric dimension of Cayley graphs. Specially, we present a complete characterization of Cayley graphs on Abelian groups whose metric dimension is two.

Keywords: `metric dimension`, `resolving set`, `Cayley graph`.
2010 MSC: 05C12, 05C25, 05C75.

1. Introduction

Let Γ be a (connected) graph with vertex set V and edge set E. For each pair of vertices $u, v \in V$, the distance between u and v is the length of a shortest path between them and is denoted by $\partial(u, v)$. For convenient, we write $u \sim v$ when $\partial(u, v) = 1$ and $u \nsim v$ otherwise. The neighbourhood of u is $N(u) = \{v \in V : \ u \sim v\}$ and the diameter of Γ, denoted by $diam(\Gamma)$, is $max\{\partial(u, v) : u, v \in V\}$. Given an ordered set $W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k\}$ of vertices in Γ, the *metric representation* of u with respect to W is the k-vector $r(u|W) = (\partial(u, w_1), \partial(u, w_2), \ldots, \partial(u, w_k))$. If distinct vertices of Γ have distinct metric representations, then W is called a *resolving set* for Γ, see [1] and [2]. The *metric dimension* of Γ, $dim(\Gamma)$, is the minimum cardinality amoung resolving sets of Γ. The fractional metric dimension of permutation graphs is considered in [3]. In [4] the metric dimension of some family of generalized Petersen graphs are determined. Specially, it is shown that each graph of the family of generalized Petersen graphs $P(n, 4)$ has constant metric dimension. For more results in this subject and related subjects see [5], [6] and [7]. One of the most interesting parts is to find some family of graphs that has constant metric dimension. In this regard, many families are studied and characterized. It is well known that paths has metric dimension one, cycles has metric dimension two and each complete graph

[1] *Email: vatandoost@sci.ikiu.ac.ir, Corresponding author*
[2] *Email: a.behtoei@sci.ikiu.ac.ir*
[3] *Email: y.golkhandypour@edu.ikiu.ac.ir*

on n vertices has metric dimension $n-1$. Caceres *et al.* in [8] compute the metric dimension of fan F_n and the following theorem about the prism graph $P_m \times C_n$.

Theorem 1.1. *[8] For each m-vertex path P_m and n-vertex cycle C_n we have*

$$dim(P_m \times C_n) = \begin{cases} 2 & n \equiv 1 \pmod 2, \\ 3 & n \equiv 0 \pmod 2, m \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Also, for the *Möbius Ladder* graphs the following result is obtained.

Theorem 1.2. *[9] Let M_n be a Mobius Ladder graph and $n \geq 8$ be an even integer. Then we have $dim(M_n) = 3$ for $n \equiv 2 \pmod 8$ and $3 \leq dim(M_n) \leq 4$ otherwise.*

Let G be a group and S be a subset of G which is closed under taking inverse and does not contain the identity element e. The *Cayley graph* $Cay(G, S)$ is a graph with vertex set G and edge set $\{uv : vu^{-1} \in S\}$. Cayley graphs are regular and vertex transitive. In [10] the metric dimension of a family of 3-regular Cayley graphs is computed.

Theorem 1.3. *[10] Let $G = \langle g \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order n and $S = \{g, g^{-1}, g^{n/2}\}$. Then*

$$dim(Cay(G, S)) = \begin{cases} 3 & n \equiv 0 \pmod 4, \\ 4 & n \equiv 2 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we provide a complete characterization of Cayley graphs on Abelian groups whose metric dimension is the constant value two. For this reason, we use two following useful results frequently.

Theorem 1.4. *[1] Let Γ be a graph and $\{u, v, w\} \subseteq V$ such that $u \sim v$ and $\partial(u, w) = d$. Then $\partial(v, w) \in \{d-1, d, d+1\}$.*

Theorem 1.5. *[11] Let Γ be a graph with $dim(\Gamma) = 2$ and $W = \{u, v\}$ be a resolving set for it. Then*

i) there exists a unique shortest path between u and v,

ii) the degree of u and the degree of v are at most three.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we provide some useful result's which will be applied in the next section. In [12] the metric dimension of k-regular bipartite graphs for $k = n-1$ and $k = n-2$ is determined. We obtain a sharp lower bound for the metric dimension of 3-regular bipartite graphs.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Γ be a 3-regular bipartite graph on n vertices. Then $dim(\Gamma) \geq 3$.*

Proof. Since Γ is not a path, $dim(\Gamma) \geq 2$. Suppose on the contrary that $dim(\Gamma) = 2$ and let $W = \{u, v\}$ be a resolving set for Γ. Also, assume that $\partial(u, v) = d$ and $N(u) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$. By Theorem 1.4, $\partial(u_i, v) \in \{d-1, d, d+1\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 3$. If there exist $i \neq j$ such that $\partial(u_i, v) = \partial(u_j, v)$, then $r(u_i|W) = r(u_j|W)$, which is a contradiction. Without loss of generality, assume that $\partial(u_1, v) = d-1$, $\partial(u_2, v) = d$ and $\partial(u_3, v) = d+1$. Let σ_1 be a shortest path between u and v, and σ_2 be a shortest path between u_2 and v. Now two paths σ_1 and σ_2 using the edge uu_2 create an odd closed walk in Γ which contains an odd cycle, a contradiction (see page 24 of [13]). For sharpness, consider the hyper cube Q_3. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let $G \ncong S_3$ be a group of order $n \geq 3$ and $S \subset G$ be an inverse-closed generating subset of G such that $e \notin S$ and $dim(Cay(G, S)) = 2$. Also, suppose that $Cay(G, S)$ is not a cycle and that W is an optimal resolving set for $Cay(G, S)$. Then we have $W \cap S = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Since $Cay(G, S)$ is vertex transitive, without loss of generality, we can assumed that $e \in W$ and $W = \{e, w\}$ for some $w \in G$. Since $dim(Cay(G, S)) = 2$, Theorem 1.5 implies that $|S| \leq 3$. Since $n > 2$, we have $|S| \neq 1$. If $|S| = 2$, then $Cay(G, S)$ is isomorphic to a cycle which contradicts the assumptions. Hence $|S| = 3$. On the contrary, assume that $W \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $S = \{u, v, w\}$. According to the order of w, the proof falls into the following two cases.

Case 1. $O(w) = 2$: In this case, we first claim that $N(w) \cap S = \emptyset$. Note that $N(w) = \{e, uw, vw\}$. If $uw \in S$, then $uw = u$, $uw = v$, or $uw = w$. Since $u \neq e$ and $w \neq e$, it follows that $uw = v$. Thus, in $Cay(G, S)$, $u \sim w$ and $v \sim w$. Hence $r(u \mid W) = r(v \mid W) = (1, 1)$, which is a contradiction. If $vw \in S$, then similarly we can obtain a contradiction. This completes the proof of claim. Hence $\partial(e, uw) = \partial(e, vw) = 2$ and so $r(uw \mid W) = r(vw \mid W) = (2, 1)$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $O(w) \neq 2$: Since $S = S^{-1}$ and $w^{-1} \in S$, without loss of generality, we can assume that $w^{-1} = v$ and $O(u) = 2$. We claim that $N(w) \cap S = \emptyset$. Note that $N(w) = \{e, uw, w^2\}$. At first, assume that $uw \in S$. Then $uw = u$, $uw = w$, or $uw = w^{-1}$. Since $u \neq e$ and $w \neq e$, $uw = w^{-1}$. Hence $w^2 = u$. Since $O(u) = 2$, $O(w) = 4$. In addition, since $G = \langle S \rangle$, G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_4$ (cyclic group of order four) and hence, $Cay(G, S)$ is isomorphic to K_4. Therefore, $dim(Cay(G, S)) = 3$ which is a contradiction. Now assume that $w^2 \in S$. Then $w^2 = u$, $w^2 = w$, or $w^2 = w^{-1}$. It is clear that $w^2 \neq w$. If $w^2 = u$, then $O(w) = 4$, and so G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_4$, and so $Cay(G, S)$ is isomorphic to K_4. Hence $dim(Cay(G, S)) = 3$, which produce a contradiction.

If $w^2 = w^{-1}$, then $O(w) = 3$. First suppose G is an Abelian group. Then G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_6$, cyclic group of order six. By Theorem 1.3, $dim(Cay(\mathbb{Z}_6, S)) = 4$, which is a contradiction.

Next assume that G is a non-Abelian group. In this case all possible neighbors of vertices u, w, w^2 and uw are depicted in Fig. 1. Define $L = \{wuw, w^2uw\}$. It is claimed that $L \cap S = \emptyset$.

It is easy to check that $wuw \neq w$ and $wuw \neq w^2$. Also if $wuw = u$, then $O(uw) = 2$. Thus $G = \langle S \rangle = \langle u, w : u^2 = w^3 = (wu)^2 = e \rangle$, and so $G \cong S_3$, which is wrong.

On the other hand, it is clear that $w^2uw \neq w$ and $w^2uw \neq w^2$. Also if $w^2uw = u$, then $uw = wu$ and



Figure 1: A part of $Cay(G, S)$ in that the neighborhood of letters in S is depicted.

so $O(uw) = 6$. Thus $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$ which produce a contradiction. Therefore $L \cap S = \emptyset$, as claimed.
Now Assume that $K = \{wu, w^2u, uw^2\}$. It will be shown that $L \cap K = \emptyset$.
First let $wuw \in K$. Since $w \neq e$, $wuw \neq wu$. Hence $wuw = uw^2$ or $wuw = w^2u$. In any way, $uw = wu$ and so $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$ which produce a contradiction.
Next suppose that $w^2uw \in K$. Since $w \neq e$, $w^2uw \neq w^2u$. Let $w^2uw = wu$ or $w^2uw = uw^2$. Then $O(uw) = 2$; and since $G = \langle S \rangle$, $G = \langle u, w : u^2 = w^3 = (uw)^2 = e \rangle$. Hence $G \cong S_3$, which is a contradiction.
Thus $L \cap S = L \cap K = \emptyset$. So $\partial(e, wuw) = \partial(e, w^2uw) = 3$ and $\partial(w, wuw) = \partial(w, w^2uw) = 2$. We have $r(wuw \mid W) = r(w^2uw \mid W) = (3, 2)$, which contradicts the fact that W is a resolving set for $Cay(G, S)$.
In all cases for w, a contradiction was produced; and it follows that $W \cap S = \emptyset$ and completed the proof. □

3. Cayley graphs with metric dimension two

In this section, we present a complete characterization for Cayley graphs on Abelian groups whose metric dimension is two.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G = \langle u \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order n and $S = \{u^i, u^{-i}, u^{n/2}\}$ be a generating subset for G. Then $dim(Cay(G, S)) = 2$ if and only if $gcd(i, n/2) = 1$ and $n \equiv 2(\mod 4)$.*

Proof. At first, assumed that $dim(Cay(G, S)) = 2$. Since S is a generating subset for G, we have $gcd(i, n) = 1$ or $gcd(i, n/2) = 1$. If $gcd(i, n/2) \neq 1$, then $gcd(i, n) = 1$. Hence $O(u^i) = n$ and $G = \langle u^i \rangle$. Now Theorem 1.3 implies that $dim(Cay(G, S)) \in \{3, 4\}$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $gcd(i, n/2) = 1$ and so $gcd(i, n) = 2$. This means that i is even and $n/2$ is odd denoted it by $2k + 1$. Hence $n \equiv 2(\mod 4)$.
Next, let $gcd(i, n/2) = 1$ and $n \equiv 2(\mod 4)$. Then $O(u^i) = n/2$ which is an odd. If $H = \langle u^i \rangle$, then since $n/2$ is an odd and $O(u^{n/2}) = 2$, $u^{n/2} \notin H$. Hence $[G : H] = 2$ and so $G = H \cup Hu^{n/2}$.

Obviously, $Cay(G,S)$ contains two disjoint cycles on $n/2$ vertices $e \sim u^i \sim u^{2i} \sim \ldots \sim (u^i)^{n/2-1} \sim e$ and $u^{n/2} \sim u^{i+n/2} \sim u^{2i+n/2} \sim \ldots \sim u^{(n/2-1)i+n/2} \sim u^{n/2}$ as a subgraph. On the other hand, for each $1 \leq k \leq n/2$, $u^{ki} \sim u^{ki+n/2}$. Since $|S| = 3$, $Cay(G,S)$ is isomorphic to the prism graph, $P_2 \times C_{2k+1}$. See Fig. 2, for more details. Thus by Theorem 1.1, $dim(Cay(G,S)) = 2$. □



Figure 2: $Cay(G,S)$ is isomorphic to the prism graph, $P_2 \times C_{2k+1}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a non-cyclic Abelian group of order $n > 4$; and let S be a generating subset of G in which $e \notin S = S^{-1}$. Then $dim(Cay(G,S)) \neq 2$.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $dim(Cay(G,S)) = 2$. By Theorem 1.5-(ii), $|S| \leq 3$. G is not cyclic and hence $|S| > 1$. If $|S| = 2$ and $S = \{u, v\}$, then $S = S^{-1}$ implies that $O(u) = O(v) = 2$ or $u = v^{-1}$. Since S is a generating set for G and G is a non-cyclic group, $u \neq v^{-1}$. Thus, since $G = \langle S \rangle$ is non-cyclic, G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ which contradicts the fact that $n > 4$.
Now we can assume that $|S| = 3$. By vertex transitivity of Cayley graphs, let $W = \{e, w\}$ be a resolving set for $Cay(G,S)$. By Theorem 2.2, $W \cap S = \emptyset$; and we can assume that $S = \{u, v, z\}$.
First, let $O(u) = O(v) = O(z) = 2$. Since G is an Abelian group, $n \in \{4, 8\}$ and this using the condition $n > 4$, implies that $n = 8$. In this case, G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. In each case, $Cay(G,S)$ is isomorphic to $P_2 \times C_4$. See Fig. 3 for more details. Hence by Theorem 1.1, we have $dim(Cay(G,S)) = 3$, which is a contradiction. Thus $S = \{u, u^{-1}, v\}$ such that $z = u^{-1}$, $O(u) = t \geq 2$ and $O(v) = 2$. Since S is a generating subset of G and $w \notin S$, we have $w = u^k v$ for some $1 \leq k \leq t-1$; or $w = u^k$ for some $2 \leq k \leq t-2$. The following cases will be considered.
Case 1. $w = u^k v$ for some $1 \leq k \leq t-1$.
Since $u^{-\ell} = u^{n-\ell}$ for any integer ℓ, by renaming $u = u^{-1}$ if it is necessary, it can be assumed $k \leq n/2$ is a positive integer. Certainly, $e \sim u \sim u^2 \sim \ldots \sim u^k \sim u^k v$ is a path of length $k+1$ from e to $u^k v$, and so $\partial(e, u^k v) \leq k+1$. If $\partial(e, u^k v) \leq k$, then (by definition of Cayley graphs) there exist a shorter path whose vertices are created by linear combination of powers of u and v, or of u^{-1} and v.
First, let the shorter path be created by combination of powers of u and v. Since G is Abelian there



Figure 3: It was assumed G is an Abelian group of order 8 and $S = \{u, v, z\}$ such that $O(u) = O(v) = O(z) = 2$.

is a positive integer $\ell < k$ such that $u^k v = u^\ell v$. Hence $u^k = u^\ell$ which is not possible.
Next, suppose the shorter path be created by combination of powers of u^{-1} and v. Then there is a positive integer $\ell < k$ such that $u^k v = u^{-\ell} v$. Hence $u^k = u^{-\ell}$. Since $u^{-\ell} = u^{n-\ell}$, we have $k = n - \ell \gneqq n - n/2$, which is a contradiction.
Therefore $\partial(e, u^k v) = k+1$. Now, we obtain two distinct path of length $k+1$ from e to $u^k v$ as depicted in Fig. 4 which contradicts Theorem 1.5.



Figure 4: Two distinct paths of length $k + 1$ between e and $u^k v$.

Case 2. $w = u^k$ for some $2 \leq k \leq t - 2$.
Since $u^{-\ell} = u^{t-\ell}$ for any integer ℓ, by renaming $u = u^{-1}$ if it is necessary, it can be assumed $k \leq t/2$ is a positive integer. It is obvious that $e \sim u \sim u^2 \sim \ldots \sim u^{k-1} \sim u^k$ is a path of length k from e to u^k, which is denoted by P. Hence $\partial(e, u^k) \leq k$.
If $\partial(e, u^k) < k$, then there exists a path from e to u^k with length shorter than k which must be created by combination of u and v. Assume the new path is separated from P in i-th, and joint to P in j-th vertex again, for some $0 \leq i < j \leq k$. See Fig. 5, for more details.

Clearly, the new path has two vertices $u^i v$ and $u^j v$ more than P, which produce a contradiction.



Figure 5:

Therefore $\partial(e, u^k) = k$. Consequently, it can be assumed that $k \neq n/2$. If not, then we can obtain two distinct path of length $n/2$ from e to u^k, which contradicts Theorem 3.2-(i).
By using the structure of P, we have $N(u^k) = \{u^{k-1}, u^{k+1}, u^kv\}$ and $\partial(e, u^{k-1}) = k - 1$. In addition, If $k + 1 > t/2$, then $k \geq t/2$ which contradicts the fact $k < t/2$. Hence $k + 1 \leq t/2$ and so $\partial(e, u^{k+1}) = k + 1$.
Finally, By Theorem 1.4, $\partial(e, u^kv) = k$. On the other hand, by similar proof of Case 1, we have $\partial(e, u^kv) = k+1$, which produce a contradiction. Therefore W can not be a resolving set for $Cay(G, S)$ and so $dim(Cay(G, S)) \neq 2$. □

Now, we are ready to establish our main Theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be an Abelian group of order $n > 4$ and $S \subset G$, where $e \notin S = S^{-1}$. Then $dim(Cay(G, S)) = 2$ if and only if $G = \langle u \rangle$ is cyclic and $S = \{u^i, u^{-i}, u^{n/2}\}$ in which $gcd(i, n/2) = 1$ and $n \equiv 2(\mod 4)$.*

Proof. if $G = \langle u \rangle$; and $S = \{u^i, u^{-i}, u^{n/2}\}$ in which $gcd(i, n/2) = 1$ and $n \equiv 2(\mod 4)$, then by Theorem 3.1, $dim(Cay(G, S)) = 2$.
On the other hand, suppose that $dim(Cay(G, S)) = 2$. If G is a non-cyclic Abelian group, then by Theorem 3.2, $dim(Cay(G, S)) \neq 2$ which is wrong. Hence G is a cyclic group; and the results will be obtained from Theorem 3.1. □

References

References

[1] S. Khuller, B. Raghavachari, A. Rosenfeld, Landmarks in graphs, Discrete App. Math. 70 (3) (1996) 217–229.

[2] F. Harary, R. Melter, On the metric dimension of a graph, Ars Combinatoria 2 (1976) 191–195.

[3] E. YI, The fractional metric dimension of permutation graphs, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series 31 (3) (2015) 367–382.

[4] U. A. I. J. S. NAZ, M. SALMAN, S. BOKHARY, On the constant metric dimension of generalized petersen graphs $p(n, 4)$, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series 30 (7) (2014) 367–382.

[5] J. Caceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I. M. Pelayo, M. L. Puertas, C. Seara, D. R. Wood, On the metric dimension of cartesian product of graphs, SIAM J. Disc. Math. 2 (21) (2007) 423–441.

[6] E. YI, On strong metric dimension of graphs and their complements, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series 29 (8) (2013) 1479–1492.

[7] G. Chartrand, L. Eroh, M. A. Johnson, O. R. Oellermann, Resolvability in graphs and the metric dimension of a graph, Discrete Applied Mathematics 105 (2000) 99–113.

[8] J. Caceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I. M. Pelayo, M. L. Puertas, C. Seara, D. R. Wood, On the metric dimension of some families of graphs, Elect. Notes Discrete. math. 22 (2005) 129–133.

[9] M. Ali, G. Ali, M. Imran, A. Q. Baig, M. K. Shafiq, On the metric dimension of mobius ladders, Ars Combinatoria 105 (2012) 403–410.

[10] M. Salman, I. Javaid, M. A. Chaudhry, Resolvability in circulant graphs, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series 28 (9) (2012) 1851–1864.

[11] P. S. Buczkowski, G. Chartrand, C. Poisson, P. Zhang, On k-dimensional graphs and their bases, Periodica Math 46 (1) (2003) 9–15.

[12] M. Bača, E. T. Baskoro, A. N. M. Salman, S. W. Saputro, D. Suprijanto, The metric dimension of regular bipartite graphs, Bulletin mathmatique de la Socit des Sciences Mathmatiques de Roumanie 54 (1) (2011) 15–28.

[13] D. B. West, Introduction to graph theory, 2nd Edition, Pearson Education, Inc, 2001.